

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,061	04/20/2004	David J. Keller	1999-0738.01/US	6825
7:	590 09/02/2005		EXAMINER	
Kevin D. Martin			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
Micron Technology, Inc. 8000 S. Federal Way, MS 1-525		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boise, ID 837			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-MV
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	10/829,061	KELLER, DAVID J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George A. Goudreau	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this comminion (NDONED)	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	April 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		5-4 S
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached	Since Action of form P1O-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		I19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	·	•	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	•	eceived in this National Sta	.ge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived	
	. o. m.o oo. m.ou oop.oo noc to	GEORGE GOI PAIMARY EX	AUDREAU AMINER
Attachment(s)		2-0	51
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) 'Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<b>-</b> •	

Art Unit: 1763

- Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 1. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - -In the claims 1, and 4, the usage of the term "HeO2" is incorrect. (i.e.-There is no compound with this formula. Applicant should use the term He-O2 or He/O2 to denote the usage of these compounds.);

Page 2

- -The wording used throughout claims 1, and 4 is written in a very confusing manner, and should be reworded. (i.e.-Parts of claims 1, and 4 suggest that a three step etching process is conducted with each of the etch profiles which are respectively claimed by the applicant. Other parts of claims 1, and 4 suggest that each of the claimed etch profiles are alternative embodiments, and that not all three etch steps must occur in the same process.);
- -The flow rate of He recited in claims 2, and 5 respectively conflict with claims 1, and 4 upon which they depend.;
- -In claims 2, and 5, applicant should recite the anode power, and cathode power instead of reciting "...a lower power..."; and "...a upper power...".; and
- -The wording used in paragraph 6 of claim 4 is written in a very confusing manner, and should be reworded.
- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,061

Art Unit: 1763

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner

Page 3

George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

George A. Goddreau Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763